# Technical challenges and realizations of quantum qubits: the example of superconducting charge qubits

#### Author: Arthur Vesperini

University of Siena



The Sycamore processor [F. Arute et al, 2019]

#### **The challenges**

- Scalability
- Protected from decoherence (large relaxation time  $T_1$  and dephasing time  $\mathrm{T}_2^{}$  )
- Protected from noise
- Implementation of single-qubit and two-qubit quantum gates
- Measurement apparatus

 $\hat{H}=Q^2/2C+\Phi^2/2L$ 



 $\hat{H}=Q^2/2C+\Phi^2/2L$ 





[D.Bernal et al. , 2020]

# **Superconducting qubits: the Cooper Pair Box (CPB)**  $\hat{H} = E_C(\hat{n} - n_q)^2 - E_J \cos(\hat{\varphi})$



$$
\hat{Q}=(2e)^2(\hat{n}-n_g)^2
$$





**Superconducting qubits: the Cooper Pair Box (CPB)**  
\n
$$
\hat{H} = -E_J \sum_n \left( |n+1\rangle\langle n| + |n\rangle\langle n+1| \right)
$$
\n
$$
\xrightarrow{\text{Superconducting island}^*} + E_C \sum_n (n - n_g)^2 |n\rangle\langle n|
$$
\n
$$
E_C = (2e)^2 / 2C_{\Sigma}
$$
\n
$$
n_g = C_g V_g / 2e
$$

# **Superconducting qubits: the Cooper Pair Box (CPB)**  $\hat{H}=-E_J\sum_n\Big(|n+1\rangle\langle n|+|n\rangle\langle n+1|\Big)$  $+ E_C \sum_n (n - n_g)^2 |n\rangle\langle n|$

To obtain a qubit: tuning of  $\boldsymbol{\mathrm{E}}_\mathrm{c}$  and  $\boldsymbol{\mathrm{n}}_\mathrm{g}$  to maximize anharmonicity $\alpha = \Delta E_{12} - \Delta E_{01}$ 



[J.Koch et al., 2007]

 $E_J \sim constant$  $E_C=(2e)^2/2C_{\Sigma}$  $n_q = C_q V_q/2e$ 

$$
\begin{aligned} \hat{H} &= -E_J \Big( |1\rangle\langle 0| + |0\rangle\langle 1| \Big) \\ &+ E_C \Big( n_g^2 |0\rangle\langle 0| - (1 - n_g)^2 |1\rangle\langle 1| \Big) \end{aligned}
$$

# $\hat{H} = K(n_g)\mathbb{I} - E_c(n_g-1/2)\sigma_z + E_J\sigma_x/2$

$$
\hat{H} = K(n_g)\mathbb{I} - E_c(n_g-1/2)\sigma_z + E_J\sigma_x/2
$$

n<sub>g</sub> can be used as a control to change the nature of H, henceforth implementing single-qubit quantum gates:

$$
\hat{H} = K(n_g)\mathbb{I} - E_c(n_g-1/2)\sigma_z + E_J\sigma_x/2
$$

n<sub>g</sub> can be used as a control to change the nature of H, henceforth implementing single-qubit quantum gates:

-  $\,$  adiabatic change (e.g. from  $\rm n_{\rm g}^{\,=0}$  to  $\rm n_{\rm g}^{\,=1\!\!/2}$  to obtain |+> )

$$
\hat{H} = K(n_g)\mathbb{I} - E_c(n_g-1/2)\sigma_z + E_J\sigma_x/2
$$

n<sub>g</sub> can be used as a control to change the nature of H, henceforth implementing single-qubit quantum gates:

- adiabatic change (e.g. from  $n_g=0$  to  $n_g=$ ½ to obtain  $\mid +\rangle$  )
- fast change of n<sub>g</sub> leads to Rabi-like dynamics; then we control the onset time t to obtain the desired state.

Problem: sensitivity to charge noise, affecting the value of  $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{g}}^{\mathrm{}}$  , inducing small dephasing time  $\mathrm{T}_2^{}$  .



In the transmon regime,  $\mathrm{E_{y}/E_{c}}$  is tuned so that  $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{n}_\mathrm{g})$  . dispersion becomes negligible, and some hanarmonicity is preserved. n g becomes irrelevant.

[J.Koch et al. , 2007]



In practice,  $\rm E_c$  is tuned by increasing total capacitance.

[T. Roth et al. , 2021]



[T. Roth et al., 2021]

In practice,  $\rm E_c$  is tuned by increasing total capacitance.

New problem is the weak anharmonicity: risks of leakage. Pulse shaping allows fast transitions with fine frequency control.

The transmon qubits can be coupled (entangled), through a resonator, which is expressed by a Jaynes-Cummings Hamiltonian:

$$
\hat{H} = \hat{H}_r + \textstyle\sum_{j=1,2}\hat{H}_j + \textstyle\sum_{j=1,2}g(a^{\dagger}\sigma_j^{-} + a\sigma_j^{+})
$$

The transmon coupled to a circuit resonator can be expressed, after some manipulations, by a (approximated) Jaynes-Cummings Hamiltonian:

$$
\begin{aligned} \hat{H} &= (\omega_r - \chi |1\rangle\langle 1| + \chi |0\rangle\langle 0|)a^\dagger a \\ &+ (\omega_1 + \chi)\sigma_z \end{aligned}
$$

The transmon coupled to a circuit resonator can be expressed, after some manipulations, by a (approximated) Jaynes-Cummings Hamiltonian:

$$
\begin{aligned} \hat{H} &= (\omega_r - \chi |1\rangle\langle 1| + \chi |0\rangle\langle 0|)a^\dagger a \\ &+ (\omega_1 + \chi)\sigma_z \end{aligned}
$$

The resonator frequency depends on the transmon state; it is then measured by microwave spectroscopy.

# **Superconducting qubits: advantages**

- Easy to embed into a larger circuit
- 1D junctions effectively smaller than 3D cavity
- Easy control transition frequencies: protection from thermal noise
- Macroscopic quantum state with high coupling strength
- Straightforward measurement

Arute, Frank, Kunal Arya, Ryan Babbush, Dave Bacon, Joseph C. Bardin, Rami Barends, Rupak Biswas, et al. 'Quantum Supremacy Using a Programmable Superconducting Processor'. Nature 574, no. 7779 (24 October 2019): 505-10. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1666-5.

Bernal, David E., Sridhar Tayur, and Davide Venturelli. 'Quantum Integer Programming (QuIP) 47-779: Lecture Notes'. arXiv, 11 January 2021. http://arxiv.org/abs/2012.11382.

Hanneke, D., J. P. Home, J. D. Jost, J. M. Amini, D. Leibfried, and D. J. Wineland. 'Realization of a Programmable Two-Qubit Quantum Processor'. Nature Physics 6, no. 1 (January 2010): 13-16. https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys1453.

Koch, Jens, Terri M. Yu, Jay Gambetta, A. A. Houck, D. I. Schuster, J. Majer, Alexandre Blais, M. H. Devoret, S. M. Girvin, and R. J. Schoelkopf. 'Charge-Insensitive Qubit Design Derived from the Cooper Pair Box'. Physical Review A 76, no. 4 (12 October 2007): 042319. https://doi.org/10.1103 /PhysRevA.76.042319.

Langford, Nathan K. 'Circuit QED - Lecture Notes'. arXiv, 7 October 2013. http://arxiv.org /abs/1310.1897.

Nakamura, Y., Yu. A. Pashkin, and J. S. Tsai. 'Coherent Control of Macroscopic Quantum States in a Single-Cooper-Pair Box'. Nature 398, no. 6730 (April 1999): 786-88. https://doi.org/10.1038/19718. Roth, Thomas E., Ruichao Ma, and Weng C. Chew. 'An Introduction to the Transmon Qubit for Electromagnetic Engineers'. arXiv, 21 June 2021. http://arxiv.org/abs/2106.11352.

Thank you.